

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
		10/618,089	PANEC ET AL
		<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
		RAMY M. OSMAN	2457

**All Participants:**

(1) RAMY M. OSMAN

(2) Joseph Villeneuve (37460)

**Date of Interview:** 1 July 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

1,2,3,27,30

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Osman suggested amending the claims to more clearly establish the direction of the message flow, and place the claims in condition for allowance. Attorney Villeneuve agreed to amend the claims via Examiners Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ramy M Osman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)